

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience since I am a SNOWBIRD and am on the road and have to pay for home service anyway.

As a retiree I object to paying high service fees and taxes MORE THAN 50% ON MY HOME PHONE WHILE I DON'T EVEN USE IT.

KEEP ON AND I WON'T HAVE PHONE SERVICE I WILL USE MY INTERNET/PHONE PROTOCOL.

AND START VOTING FOR CONGRESSMEN WHO LISTEN AND WILL BRING SOME REASONABLENESS TO PHONE SERVICE.

I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

James N. Jones II 4510 Chatham dr.  
Midland, Mi 48642

Sincerely,

James Jones II  
4510 Chatham Dr  
Midland, Michigan 48642-3514